



19 April 2010

Document No. 107662007-008-L-Rev0

Dan Sartoretto DWLBC Level 2, 150 Grenfell Street ADELAIDE SA 5000

REVIEW OF STABILITY ANALYSIS SWAN REACH WASTE DISPOSAL STATION - RIVER MURRAY

Dear Dan

Introduction

As requested by the South Australian Department of Water Land and Biodiversity Conservation (DWLBC) Golder Associates Pty Ltd (Golder Associates) has completed a peer review of geotechnical analysis carried out by Sinclair Knight Merz (SKM) into riverbank collapses at Swan Reach Waste Disposal Station in the Lower Reaches of the River Murray. Golder Associates were commissioned for this work by email (Sartoretto/Sanders) on 1 April 2010

Sinclair Knight Merz (SKM) previously undertook studies of river bank collapses which are described in *Geotechnical Investigation Report* dated January 2010. The studies included intrusive investigations at the Swan Reach Waste Disposal Station. The SKM report indicates the following for the Swan Reach site

"The results of our investigation indicate that this is a LOW RISK site. Slope stability analyses in the vicinity of the river side property, based on observed existing static conditions, indicate that the FoS against slope failure, is above the FoS that would normally be considered acceptable, for permanent areas used by the public. It is considered likely that further reductions in water level will not affect the stability of the properties.

We recommend that the operator of these riverside properties to be advised to monitor the cracks or accelerating ground movements. No fencing is required at this location."

Slope Stability Analysis

Golder Associates has carried out additional slope stability analyses at the Swan Reach site using the computer software SlopeW.

The cross section used for the slope stability analysis that documented in the SKM report, and included a tension crack to a depth of 4 m which was placed 5 m behind the crest of bank.

Soil parameters for the slope stability analysis were chosen based the data presented in the SKM report. Four sets of soil parameters were analysed. The table below presents the soil parameters used in the analysis.



	FILL		CLAY 1		CLAY 2		CLAY 3		CLAY 4		SAND	
	c (kPa)	φ	c (kPa)	φ	c (kPa)	φ	c (kPa)	φ	c (kPa)	φ	c (kPa)	φ
CASE A	-	32°	300	-	100 - 300	-	20-100	-	10	-	-	32°
	$\gamma = 20 \text{ kN/m}^3$		γ = 19 kN/m ³		γ = 19 kN/m ³		γ = 18 kN/m ³		$\gamma = 17 \text{ kN/m}^3$		γ = 19 kN/m ³	
CASE B	-	30°	250	-	75 – 250	-	10 – 75	-	10	-	-	32°
	γ = 19 kN/m ³		γ = 19 kN/m ³		γ = 19 kN/m ³		γ = 18 kN/m ³		$\gamma = 17 \text{ kN/m}^3$		γ = 19 kN/m ³	
CASE C	-	30°	250	-	60 – 200	-	10 – 55	-	7.5	-	-	32°
	$\gamma = 20 \text{ kN/m}^3$		$\gamma = 19 \text{ kN/m}^3$		$\gamma = 19 \text{ kN/m}^3$		$\gamma = 18 \text{ kN/m}^3$		$\gamma = 17 \text{ kN/m}^3$		$\gamma = 19 \text{ kN/m}^3$	
CASE D	-	30°	200	-	60 – 200	-	5 – 50	-	5	-	-	32 [°]
	$\gamma = 20 \text{ kN/m}^3$		$\gamma = 19 \text{ kN/m}^3$		$\gamma = 19 \text{ kN/m}^3$		γ = 18 kN/m ³		γ = 17 kN/m ³		$\gamma = 19 \text{ kN/m}^3$	

Table 1: Soil Parameters

Case A represents what Golder considers to be a reasonable interpretation of the data. Case D represents what we consider to be a 'lowest credible' interpretation of the data. Case B and C are intermediates between these.

Two analysis were completed for each case, one with the tension crack dry and one with the tension crack full of water.

Recommendations

Our analyses indicate that SKM's assessment of stability at Swan Reach Waste Disposal Station is reliable and that SKM's conclusions and recommendations for the site are reasonable.

The analyses predict a decrease in the Factor of Safety if water is present the tension cracks. While these analyses would not, in our opinion, invalidate SKM's conclusions and recommendations, they do indicate that it would be prudent to manage stormwater flows to prevent the water entering the cracks and maintain the stability of the site.

Limitations

Your attention is drawn to the document – "Limitations", which is attached to this report. The statements presented in this document are intended to advise you of what your realistic expectations of this report should be. The document is not intended to reduce the level of responsibility accepted by Golder Associates, but rather to ensure that all parties who may rely on this report are aware of the responsibilities each assumes in so doing.

Closure

Thankyou for the opportunity to work on this project which has presented some interesting challenges. We look forward to contributing further. If you have any questions about this letter please call Lyndon Sanders on 0414 575 071.

GOLDER ASSOCIATES PTY LTD

sanders

Lyndon Sanders Principal Geoetchnical Engineer

AFS/LJS/nd

Attachments: Limitations (LEG04, RL1) j:\geo\2010\107662007 - dwlbc river murray lower reaches\correspondence out\107662007-008-I-rev0.docx



LIMITATIONS

This Document has been provided by Golder Associates Pty Ltd ("Golder") subject to the following limitations:

This Document has been prepared for the particular purpose outlined in Golder's proposal and no responsibility is accepted for the use of this Document, in whole or in part, in other contexts or for any other purpose.

The scope and the period of Golder's Services are as described in Golder's proposal, and are subject to restrictions and limitations. Golder did not perform a complete assessment of all possible conditions or circumstances that may exist at the site referenced in the Document. If a service is not expressly indicated, do not assume it has been provided. If a matter is not addressed, do not assume that any determination has been made by Golder in regards to it.

Conditions may exist which were not detected given the limited nature of the enquiry Golder was retained to undertake with respect to the site. Variations in conditions may occur between assessment locations, and there may be special conditions pertaining to the site which have not been revealed by the investigation and which have not therefore been taken into account in the Document. Accordingly, additional studies and actions may be required.

In addition, it is recognised that the passage of time affects the information and assessment provided in this Document. Golder's opinions are based upon information that existed at the time the information is collected. It is understood that the Services provided allowed Golder to form no more than an opinion of the actual conditions of the site at the time the site was visited and cannot be used to assess the effect of any subsequent changes in the quality of the site, or its surroundings, or any laws or regulations.

Any assessments, designs, and advice provided in this Document are based on the conditions indicated from published sources and the investigation described. No warranty is included, either express or implied, that the actual conditions will conform exactly to the assessments contained in this Document.

Where data supplied by the client or other external sources, including previous site investigation data, have been used, it has been assumed that the information is correct unless otherwise stated. No responsibility is accepted by Golder for incomplete or inaccurate data supplied by others.

Golder may have retained subconsultants affiliated with Golder to provide Services for the benefit of Golder. To the maximum extent allowed by law, the Client acknowledges and agrees it will not have any direct legal recourse to, and waives any claim, demand, or cause of action against, Golder's affiliated companies, and their employees, officers and directors.

This Document is provided for sole use by the Client and is confidential to it and its professional advisers. No responsibility whatsoever for the contents of this Document will be accepted to any person other than the Client. Any use which a third party makes of this Document, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, is the responsibility of such third parties. Golder accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this Document.